NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

PETER J. SCHMITT, PRESIDING OFFICER

FULL LEGISLATURE

PETER J. SCHMITT, CHAIRMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

January 30, 2012 1:18 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

A P P E A R A N C E S:

PETER J. SCHMITT Chair

DIANE YATAURO Minority Leader

KEVAN ABRAHAMS

ROBERT TROIANO

CARRIÉ SOLAGES

DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

JOSEPH SCANNELL

FRANCIS X. BECKER

HOWARD KOPEL

VINCENT MUSCARELLA

RICHARD J. NICOLELLO

JUDI BOSWORTH

WAYNE WINK

NORMA GONSALVES

JOSEPH BELESI

DENNIS DUNNE, SR.

JUDITH JACOBS

ROSE MARIE WALKER

DAVID DENENBERG

WILLIAM MULLER
Clerk of the Legislature

LIST OF SPEAKERS

۷.	ARC	HE	ERBS'	Т.	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	6
R	OB '	WAI	LKER		•		•	•																			18
J	OSE	PH	VOL	KE	R.			•																			75
	AME:	LA	NEE	LY	•		•	•														•				•	83
/	ORR	IS	GLE	N	LEV	IN	ΙE	•	•			•						•	•			•				•	84
()	LAU:	DI <i>P</i>	A BO	RE	CKY		•	•	•							•	•	•	•	•				•	•		86
J	OHN	WE	EBBE:	R.	•		•	•	•							•	•	•	•	•				•	•		102
J	OHN	JP	ARON	CZ	ΥK		•	•	•						•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	108
(()	TAI	SHA	A RA	ΤH	•		•	•	•			•		•				•	•			•				•	121
	AT :	DEI	LAT	Ο.	•		•	•	•						•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	•	•	123
J	OAN	NE	BOR	DE	N.			•																		•	125
L	AIL	WZ	ALLE:	R.	•		•	•	•									•	•			•				•	128
_	HAR	LES	S MC.	ΔT,	LTS	TT:	lR																				138

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12 4
2	
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I ask everybody to
4	come to order. Mr. Muller, would you read the
5	roll, please?
6	CLERK MULLER: Deputy Presiding Officer
7	Gonsalves?
8	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Present.
9	CLERK MULLER: Alternate Deputy
10	Presiding Officer Kopel?
11	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
12	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Troiano?
13	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Here.
14	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Solages? I
15	saw him.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: If he's not in his
17	seat and he doesn't answer, he's not here.
18	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Ford?
19	LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
20	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Scannell?
21	LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Here.
22	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Becker?
23	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Present.
24	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Muscarella?
25	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12	5
2	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Nicolello?	
3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.	
4	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Bosworth?	
5	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Here.	
6	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Wink?	
7	LEGISLATOR WINK: Here.	
8	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Belesi?	
9	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Here.	
10	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Dunne?	
11	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.	
12	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?	
13	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Here.	
14	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?	
15	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.	
16	CLERK MULLER: Legislator DeRiggi-	
17	Whitton?	
18	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Here.	
19	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Denenberg?	
20	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here.	
21	CLERK MULLER: Minority Leader Abrahams	?
22	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.	
23	CLERK MULLER: Presiding Officer	
24	Schmitt?	
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Here.	

the infrastructure that provides the safety and well being of all the residents of Long Island.

among the others that we're concerned about today, is the County of Nassau. The services we provide is to protect the taxpayers of this county, and how we do that is to make sure that the system is working efficiently. You hire us to do a job for you; to make sure the sewers work, to make sure the sanitation systems work, to make sure the buildings and the roads are built properly and are maintained. We are very proud to represent the firms that do just that, and we hope to continue to do that.

Although regrettably at this point, many of our firms are struggling. I've been before you before to talk about the economic conditions. In the last two years alone, we have lost 12,000 employees. We have firms that have closed their doors. And we are still continuing to try to work and do what we can on behalf of the taxpayers. And what we find is a lot of the municipal governments that we bid projects on, there's fewer and fewer companies to bid. And

the ones who are now joining the ranks do not belong or live or support the economic region here on Long Island; they are coming from outside.

How can you help us? Well, first of all, I would ask you what is the formation of the County of Nassau? What is the basis? And I think your existence is geared and understood and described in the County Charter. Now, the lawyers that may be here, if you can help me explain what the role of the County Charter is. I've come to the conclusion the County Charter is not worth the paper its' written on. You do not follow it and you have no concern what's written in there. And the attorneys who work for the county should be looking at this and making sure that it's adhered to and all the rules and regulations are followed; otherwise, this County is nothing more than a sham.

Let me explain to you what just took place a few weeks ago.

At the invitation of the County

Controller, George Maragos, he held a meeting

with us on January 18. His invitation. Because

2

1

_

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of his frustration and the shared frustration that we have, we reached out and invited us in to explain the problem. You see, our Association members work for you. We perform a function. We do a job. We complete it. As any other business transaction, after you do the work, the simple thing that concludes the deal is you pay us. You don't pay us, Nassau County. Six, seven, eight months, there's no payment coming for the work that's already done.

Now, let me explain something. You bid on a public works contract. You have to be prequalified. You do the work. After the work, if you're not paid, the county or any other government can come along and say we want you to do more, with no guarantee of being paid. And if you don't do the work, even though you're not paying, the county or any other government can Too bad. Go default simply say, you know what? on the contract. Go out of business. Go away. We'll file a lawsuit. They've done the work according to specs, signed off, everything's You don't have the money, you don't pay us. Now the contract, who has put all the work

3

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

in, paid the employees, if they can -- the situation we have now is we use union workforce, so we have to pay their benefits. So the union worker, the men and women on the streets, not getting their benefits paid because the contract, themselves, are not being paid. Now they have to float and go borrow money and the government just says we're not going to pay you, that's your problem. So we're your cash flow which, great, we love doing that. But you know what? If we go default on a contract, you can turn around to us and say sorry, out of business. Fine. I lost the deal. the job. Take a loss. write it off on the books. No, sorry, folks. You know what happens? The next person, the next job you have, regardless of whether it's with Nassau County or a village, or the state, you have to fill out that prequalification form. of the questions is have you ever been default on a public works deal? You now have to check that box. You check that box because the county didn't pay you for the work you did, as you were asked to, and you are no longer eligible to work in any other government and you're put out of

in the first place.

business. Close your doors. You're done,
because you can't pay a bill six to nine months.

So, the county comptroller meets with us and shares his frustration. Wonderful meetings. He tells us and talks about that wonderful Charter that's not worth anything it's written on, that he's got claims in his office for our members totaling more than a million dollars because, according to the quotes, there's no capital funds to cover the claims. The work has been put out. You never had the money to do it

The commissioner of public works. The commissioner of public works is doing her job of getting the work out. We are performing the job we've been asked to do. The problem is we're doing the work, and what the comptroller tells us, the budget lines, there's no money encumbered there. So, sitting outside the door of the comptroller, because he won't accept it, because he won't accept the claim unless the line's encumbered -- is the money there before you do the work? He's saying, no, I won't even accept them. The bills are piled up outside his doors

2 | for \$4.5 million for work that's already been

3 completed and the county will not pay, because it

4 was never encumbered. Now, how can that happen?

5 Explain to me how that can happen. The County

6 Charter -- the County Charter says you gotta pay

7 | us.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

And, you know, the capital program, I come to you each and every year and say why's it Why hasn't it happened? late? The County Charter, where are these lawyers that are supposed to be telling you what to do and the process in moving it forward? The County Charter says by the 15th day of October of each year the capital program must be presented to the legislature. Ladies and gentlemen, I know full well that you have not received the proposal for a capital program for 2012, and I guess that's because you can't even pay from the previous one so why bothering listening to the Charter and doing what it tells you to do. I guess it's just semantics.

The frustration has been that we also, six months ago in June, because the payments were delayed, no one is getting paid for work

completed, is out there. We invite the county executive to come meet with us. The county executive accepted our invitation, and on June 20 he appeared before the Public Works Alliance and, "What frustrates me the most is the money is there. We don't put out projects with having secured the proper funding. There is no reason that payments on these projects should be delayed this long. My office and I will do whatever we can to help streamline this process and make sure that your members get paid." The county executive.

The county executive, as all of you know, I consider him a good personal friend. And I don't think any one of you will disagree that he's not honest and sincere. And when he said those words to my organization and all the representatives, the people and companies that were not being paid, he wasn't just giving us words and making it up.

I turned back to that wonderful piece of paper, that's not worth the ink on it, the County Charter. The county executive obviously was reading from this, but nobody in the government

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seems to listen or believe that he believes the County Charter is real, because this is what it says: "The approval by the County Legislature of the capital budget ordinance shall be deemed to be approved for the preconstruction phases of the capital program, projects or activities included in the first year of the capital program, and shall be deemed to approve the preparation of the bid specifications and the solicitation of bids related thereto, provided that nothing therein shall be deemed to approve the awarding of any purchase order or contract for a capital program project or activity without an approved bond ordinance or a duly authorized appropriation of necessary funds." So what the county executive told us was 100 percent true according to the Charter. But nobody cares what the Charter says. It's meaningless.

The bottom line is we have companies that are going out of business, workforce, 12,000 already lost their jobs because the county is putting out work and you've never had the funds to do it, according to what the specifications of your own Charter say.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

your money in some cases. The money in some of the projects that were done, the ones that are sitting outside the comptroller's office where he won't accept the claims because there's no money listed in the budget, they were federal programs. The ARA projects, the program that was put in place so we could put the men and women of this country back to work. And you know what? So what does that say to us? The federal government paid 100 percent but the budget lines aren't encumbered; that raises a red flag and says what are you doing with the federal money? Are you comingling funds? Is there something improper going on? We're doing the work that the federal government gave us to complete these projects and the money's not there. And you expect us to come back and bid on new projects? My intention to come before you the first

To add insult to injury, it's not even

My intention to come before you the first meeting of the legislative year has always been to talk about the capital program for that year. We're wasting our time to look at that because your Charter says it should be here. And then we get excuses, it's all NIFA's fault. The control

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

board, NIFA, they're the bad people. Ladies and gentlemen, these contracts were from 2009 and 2010, before NIFA took any control. That money should've been allocated and spent, according to

the Charter and everything else.

In conclusion, there's nothing more I can say, other than to ask for your help. I have some suggestions.

We can have process where you, as legislators, can put some laws on the books. You can look at the contracts, the specifications and have prompt payment specifications added to those contracts. If work is done, it should be paid within 90 days or whatever, 120 days, and there be a penalty. Or, just do a blanket ordinance for all vendors of Nassau County, similar to Suffolk County, New York City and other municipalities. And the response where we suggest such things, we don't have the money. Wе can't pay our bills now. Why would we add interest to those payments? And I can appreciate that.

So, the first thing that needs to be done, look at your own house. Look at the

problems that are going on in the county. You're not only in a financial, difficult situation, which we can appreciate. You're putting other men and women out of business.

Thank you very much.

overdue invoices, notices from various companies. This is over \$4 million; that's just a sample of what's owed to our membership. Four million dollars worth of invoices right here because nobody wants to pay them. The work has been done. It's been completed. Everything's fine, but sending the check for the work that you got, that you're getting our cash flow off of.

Thank you. And I would like to present these to you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We're going to send them up to the comptroller.

I understand that the deputy county executive is here and wants to speak to this issue, Mr. Herbst. But as you and I have spoken about before, it's really quite a unique situation that the county finds itself in. The money is there, but it's not there. It's one of

MR. HERBST: That's appalling, sin

what the answer is or how we shake this loose.

We certainly stand ready to help in any way,
shape or matter that we can. But we have to
obviously get together with the county executive
and the county comptroller and track it down and
find out how we can shake it loose. This is
ridiculous. I agree with you. It's absolutely
ridiculous. I hear from people all the time,
some of our youth agencies and some of the other
vendors in the county, they haven't been paid.

Yet, we know that we have placed the money there
for them to be paid. It's something that we're
going to collectively have to address.

Let me bring Mr. Walker up and he can add the administration's two cents.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Thank

you, Mr. Herbst, for those kind comments.

Obviously, it is a little disconnect as to what has transpired. Let's go back to the history of Nassau County prior to NIFA. And why NIFA's involved, they play an instrumental role, in terms of bonding, in terms of capital projects.

MR. HERBST: That doesn't pay the bills for my members.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I guess I won't finish and I'll just continue to hear you pontificate. If you want to have a solid discussion, I'll be glad to have a solid discussion.

Bottom line is NIFA is meeting on
Wednesday to approve the capital borrowing. In
the past the county would pay all of capital
projects out of cash. They would be being in
advance, what's called a cash advance, and then
the bonding would take place anywhere between
October to December every year and that cash were
-- basically, that cash advance, the funds were
put back into that account from the passage of
the bonding.

3

2

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

To the advent of NIFA, NIFA has to approve the capital -- any borrowing, as you know, as well as any contracts. All of the contracts that you mentioned were approved, and the money that is owed -- and we want to see those contractors get paid. I had conversations with many of them over the past -- some over the weekend and last week.

All those contracts were approved by this legislature. The bond ordinance were approved by this legislature. And, as was going on in the past, the county started to pay roughly \$45 million worth of contracts, were paid with cash advance. Then again, NIFA had directed us to evaluate that, because even though NIFA approved the contract -- and I don't want to put the blame on NIFA because they're doing their due diligence and they're responsible, as they are and as their statute requires them to be. Because they approved a contract they basically said it does not mean that they approved bonding for those contracts. We stopped paying cash advances. And we had conversations with NIFA, several conversations with NIFA, several meetings with

NIFA, with the comptroller's office. And they
will be meeting on Wednesday, this Wednesday, to
approve a bulk of the borrowing, all of which
were the cash advances, and then we will be
moving forward to pay people either late next

week or early next week so everyone gets those

8 dollars.

Now, we all learned. Going forward we will not take that same process. When a contract's approved by this legislature it will also accompany a bond ordinance, and we would like NIFA to approve the use of capital funds for those projects at the same time. Because we do not want to see people working out of sewer -- sewer -- one of our storm water facilities either have to stop work because we can't pay them. So, yes, we learned a valuable lesson, as did everybody.

The money is going to be paid. We have a new process going forward. We followed all the requirements that had to be followed by the statute, of which I listened to the County Charter. All those steps were followed except for, again, in the past the county would pay

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 everything out of cash reserves and then refund those cash reserves with a bond ordinance. could not do that any longer. NIFA's at the table. They're a partner with us, with this legislature. And going forward, again, it will be the county executive, the legislature, and NIFA approving the borrowing, approving the contracts, we believe all at the same time so we do not have this problem again. Because they do deserve to get paid, Marc; that I will agree with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you with.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much. Deputy County Executive Walker, my concern though, and I think I share the concern of Mr. Herbst, that some of these contracts are from 2009 and 2010. Why is it such a delay in getting these things resolved, even if we had to go through NIFA?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Again, because they have to pass all the bond The county can't just bond. ordinances. It's a learning experience I think for myself and

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 possibly all the legislators before me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we pass a capital plan and this legislature passes a capital plan and passes the borrowing, you're not passing the plan and the borrowing all at the same time. In fact, when we have \$165 million capital plan, the borrowing that year may only be for \$75 million worth of that plan or \$80 million worth of that plan. So the bonding wasn't getting approved. So we are approving -- or last year this legislature approved borrowing in the 2011 capital plan for projects that were 2008 projects, 2009 projects, 2010 projects. It was never done that the plan and the borrowing mirrored each other. always a dollar amount and it funded certain projects. So even though you had a project started -- and that was one of our conversations we had with NIFA. The Environmental Bond Act is also -- there's some projects under the Environmental Bond Act -- a separate dedicated tax line, a separate dedicated tax stream. Almost every project, possibly every project, passed unanimously, 19 to zero, and NIFA's going to approve that bonding as well. And the

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

conversation we had with them was how much money

3 do you need to get through June? I said you

4 can't do it. We're going to be back in the same

5 boat as we are today. Here's the projects that

6 | are going to be completed. Whatever that dollar

7 amount is, you have to get approval for that

8 project from when it starts to when it completes

9 because I don't want to have that problem again,

10 where a contractor is asking to walk off the job.

As I said, it is somewhat of a learning experience by having another approval process. We learned from that approval process and we go forward. But we did not know that that was going to be a problem. It was in last year's plan. was a 2011 borrowing, a 2010 borrowing. It was approved. The contract was approved by NIFA. Wе never foresaw a problem that all of a sudden they weren't going to approve this. Now the comptroller doesn't want to pay the dollars out of cash advance because they don't know if the bonding is going to be passed. We have to learn too. We learned. We're going forward with a new We want to get everybody paid.

worked with NIFA. We crossed our Ts and dotted

Full Legislature - 1-30-12

our Is. Again, I'm comfortable. Wednesday they

are meeting and they're going to approve and

5 process.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I'm going to be at that meeting anyway. Also, only because I think that we don't need to have this anymore because -

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We agree.

everybody gets paid. It has been a learning

LEGISLATOR FORD: for economic development, especially throughout the county, there is a low level of confidence. If we are hoping to bring more businesses into the county, we need to make sure that we clean this up as well.

Mr. Herbst, I hope that we are going to get copies of those contracts; it's not just going to go to the comptroller at all. We want to follow through on them as well. I'd like you to contact my office. I'd like to sit down and discuss with you some of the recommendations that perhaps moving forward that everybody gets paid in a timely fashion and that we can continue,

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 hopefully, economic development and growth here

Thank you.

in the county.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Dunne.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Yeah. Marc Herbst had mentioned not bonding but federal pass-through money, which means that money doesn't have anything to do with us. Its federal money, we're just passing it through to them. Why isn't that being --

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It's actually -- the county gets reimbursed from the federal government after our expenditures, similar to Nassau Community College the same way. The county lays out the dollars and then they come back to us either from DASNY, either in ARA money, federal dollars. The county still has to lay those dollars out.

And again, I can only say this. Going forward we're not going to have this problem anymore. It was a learning experience to us. I want people to get paid, obviously. I don't want to have to listen to people all day saying they're not getting paid. And we work with them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

funds back.

Many of them have received payment. Those contractors -- one, in particular, which maybe everyone in this legislature received correspondence from, with Nassau Community College receiving DASNY money Friday or today and we're going to pay that contractors before NIFA approves because it is reimbursed. It makes no sense to hold up dollars that are going to be reimbursed when we know we're going to get the

Let me tell you this. One of the worst things that ever happened is the ARA funding. Contractors did not comply with some of the ARA funding, not because of them but because it would be changed in standard midway through the Some did not meet DBE, diversified project. business enterprises, and minority and women owned businesses. They did not meet the requirements. The federal government started evaluating that. So there's ARA projects that we are never going to receive the full 100 percent because the contractor either did not or could In many cases we had staff that went not comply. to Washington or went to the State DOT to see if

2

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You mentioned that going forward there are this. going to be changes in the process. I don't know if you described them or whether you've settled on what those changes will be; have you?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Ι think, again, basically there's -- the whole process is, first, you have a contract that's approved. Well, let's start from the start.

You approve a capital program and you approve borrowing for certain projects; that's step one. As the contract goes forward and you approve a contract for that work to be done, the contract then gets approved by the legislature, and it gets approved by NIFA. In that process we also want to have the bonding get approved at the same time. So NIFA is approving the use of capital funds and it fits into our multi-year plan or that year's budget. So they will be approving the contract and approving the bonding for that project. If they approve the bonding for that project, we don't necessarily want to get on the street for every five million or \$10 million for somebody to purchase -- for the sale of a bond. You're not going to get good rates

2 and it will probably become costly for the

3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

go forward.

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

probably sounds a little more complicated than it really is. But it's just really having NIFA, the day they approve the use of the contract or the contract, they also will approve bonding for that project. They know it's a capital expense that

they approved the use of us issuing bonds for

county. But if NIFA has approved the use of

capital funds and the bonding for that project

and it fits into the multi-year plan, we then

would -- the county would have no problem in

advancing those funds, knowing full well that

And then we could do the bonding in October,

approved the project. We can pay those

NIFA has approved the bonding for that project.

November, December, at a time when you have the

best rates and you can look at the market and how

the market goes. We would then know NIFA already

contractors with the cash advance knowing full

well that NIFA approved the use of bonding as we

We can work out the logistics.

Right now they don't do that.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE:

Obviously, we need

to deal with this problem right now, in terms of getting the contractors paid.

My concern is that any new process that's put into place doesn't slow up the process and slow up the amount of projects that are going out and the amount of jobs that are being created. I guess -- and we have to get NIFA on board with whatever you want to do as soon as possible. And it should be a streamline process. Again, we can't slow up the pace of these projects that the community needs.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We agree. We're actually streamlining the entire contract process. We haven't had a chance to update Mr. Herbst and his members and those that aren't his members with the new contract process that we're putting in place, with electronic signatures. We're working through the county attorney's office. The department that it's going to start in is in DPW.

There's contract that went out to bid in 2009, then it takes a year to get approvals, either through state, through the feds, and then to us. First of all, it's not for the

Full Legislature - 1-30-12

pay the money out of cash advance because the

4 you would pay it out of cash advance. I'm

5 confused now.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: No, I didn't say that. As the normal practice was in Nassau County, it was going on probably since the advent. It was always paid out of cash advance. We paid \$45 million out of cash advance. However, when we were contacted and had discussions with NIFA it was said to us, listen, they may not approve some of these contracts. Could we still theoretically pay it out of cash advance? Yes, we possibly could. However, it was not a good practice that we would be paying things out of cash advance if NIFA was not going to approve bonding for those specific projects.

bonding hadn't been approved. Now you just said

LEGISLATOR BECKER: Now you're saying if the bonding's approved you can pay it out of cash advance knowing you're going to get paid. Is that the case?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Exactly. And knowing that NIFA approves the use of bonding for those projects.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

MR. HERBST: 155.

25

LEGISLATOR BECKER: 155. And we're going through some really, really through economic times. And this shouldn't be a speed bump in anything, especially during these times, for government to be delaying payments.

I would really appreciate a report back from you in a month that, in fact, this money was paid in the last four weeks, if you would, Mr. Walker.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We'd be glad to do that.

LEGISLATOR BECKER: I think if you could come back or you shoot me an e-mail and say, Fran, or the legislature, that this money was paid, the \$4.5 million.

I just want to mention one other thing if I can, very quickly.

The people -- Novelli is the name of the company, I believe, that is present here. I just want you to know that I called you. I didn't get a call back.

FEMALE VOICE: I didn't get a message.

LEGISLATOR BECKER: Pardon me?

FEMALE VOICE: I didn't get a message.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

23 Thank you.

horrible.

24 | CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you, Mr.

25 Becker.

22

Anybody else over here? Legislator Walker.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: It's really all been said, Legislator Schmitt. Just as a quick wrap up that I know I comprehend this.

In the future, hopefully if this all works out well, if a job is completed, say, in April, the contractor would be paid in April. Then knowing that we had the votes for the bonding, we would then just hold off on the bonding and we definitely would be able to reimburse our budget with that money.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:

Correct. We would pay it ongoing as invoices are put in.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: Okay.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Just to get everyone know, too. What we've asked the Department of Public Works to do, because 99 percent of them are public works projects, is to make sure all the vouchers to the comptroller's office, if they have any questions to get back to the contractors, make sure the questions are answered, so the minute we do have that approval

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we could start payments running. We don't want to wait and delay the process any more than it was.

LEGISLATOR WALKER: And I certainly hope that in us doing this it would certainly mark all of your businesses that are under you and others that do work in the county would then see that we've gotten on a much better track here and want to bid on projects. Because I'm not happy sometimes when we see that there are two or three bidders in front of us and, again, none of them are from Nassau County, or even Long Island. we always question that. Sometimes it's because there just isn't someone who could do that specific work. But we always feel, for the most part, there's got to be someone around here who can do that work. So hopefully this is going to bring us all back together and get work going in the county, get your employees out to work and receiving paychecks, and we'll all be better off for it.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Walker, you said NIFA's going to meet this Wednesday.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Now, NFIA approves the bond this Wednesday. Then what happens?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We will then go through the process and start paying people with the cash advance. OMB is putting together their bond offering. We would then go to the public with the bond offering, and that would reimburse all the cash advances that were made.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So assuming NIFA approves the bond on Wednesday, when can these people see checks?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I don't want to speak on behalf of the comptroller's office. But I would believe we were going to try to have them -- I believe they run checks either every Wednesday and Friday. But we could start seeing people get paid hopefully this week but definitely next week and in the foreseeable weeks going forward.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Can arrangements be made for them to come pick up the checks rather than wait on the mail?

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We have
3	done that before. I'm sure the comptroller and
4	the treasurer definitely would do that.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Is that something
6	you'd be interested in, Mr. Herbst?
7	MR. HERBST: The sooner the better.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. We'll be in
9	touch with you on Wednesday. Are you attending
LO	that NIFA meeting?
L1	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: No, but
L2	somebody from staff is.
L3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay.
L4	Legislator Denenberg.
L5	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I have this is
L6	first for Mr. Herbst and then Mr. Walker.
L7	Marc, first of all, thank you for coming
L8	down. And this, of course, is, by far, not the
L9	first time you've been down. Usually you're
20	down, as you said, if we go back two years ago,
21	to make sure the capital plan is passed in a
22	timely manner.
23	Going back, you know, there's something
24	here I just want to clarify. Going back to
25	December 2009, you were here when the Legislature

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

I wrote on

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG:

25

2 December 6, 2011, based on a conversation in part

3 with you, as to where the capital budget was for

4 | 2012, which we should have gotten. All

5 | legislators should have gotten in October 2011,

6 | and I cc'd the Public Works Committee on this.

To date, we don't have the capital budget for

8 2012.

We also -- and I've been requesting this, to go back to, like, the 2007, 2009 year. We would get project status updates and we would call quarterly hearings on the status of the budget, the capital budget, the capital plan. To date, if you would look, we don't have those status reports. We, as a body, can conduct hearings to see where we are on the status and where we are on payment of each of those projects. Wouldn't that help you at least come before us and say which projects have been paid, which projects haven't been bid, which projects haven't been bid?

MR. HERBST: Any information that you could provide, whatever the process, certainly would help. It would be educational for all.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I recall chairing some public works committees where, just in a regular committee, on a quarterly basis I asked you to come. If there was a project that was delayed, you were not shy. You would come and say which projects were delayed, and that helped.

MR. HERBST: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But I'm telling you now, we don't have the capital budget for 2012. So all you're pushing to get the 10 plan passed, the 11 plan passed and bonded, if we don't go right to NIFA with the bond -- or if in 2010 we encumbered the bond right away, we wouldn't even had to go to NIFA in 2011. Now, in 11, when we passed the budget in August, apparently everyone said that was late, and it was. But we haven't even gone to NIFA for any of the capital borrowing in the 2011 plan and now we're in 12. I'm thinking we don't have a 2012 plan because we'll spend all of 2012 implementing 10 and implementing 11. Do you have any reason to think that we've implemented all of 10 and 11? No, I do not believe it's MR. HERBST:

been implemented. There are still outstanding

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 projects.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I think if I look at a capital budgeting of 160 million -- first of all, only 20 million's even been paid for 11.

There haven't been contracts for over 100 million of it.

I would repeat my request; that something that the legislature can do, through public works on a quarterly basis, is see where you are on projects, see which projects have gone out, which projects haven't gone out, and push the administration to get an explanation if a project hasn't gone out, or if it's gone out and hasn't been paid, or if the bonding hasn't been encumbered yet.

With that, I'd like to ask Mr. Walker a question.

I went back through it, and I was at a March -- sorry, a March 2011 and May 2011 meeting that NIFA held. In March 2011 NIFA explained that any bonding for the capital plan would have to go through NIFA, and they had a request. They made a big deal in April of that year that they got a request for approve \$100 million worth of

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 bonding without any backup.

John Ciampoli, our county attorney, said, well, what kind of backup do you want? And that was sort of a give and take. In May of that year we had given whatever backup was necessary.

Because you could look back through the records.

In May 2011, NIFA approved \$100 million worth of capital borrowing. So it seemed like we quickly corrected whatever we needed to do for bonding that was happening after NIFA had increased its level of oversight. But now we're doing it again and I don't understand why.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Well, because we used to pay still cash advances then. That \$100 million for projects that started -- some projects go back to 2003 and 2004 that the county never bonded because either the project didn't start, some of them are big projects.

Again, the county did not borrow the year of which the capital plan was approved. That's the most simplest answer.

You, this legislature, approves \$150 million capital --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let's say August

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 of 2011 we approved 160.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Say whenever it is. It's \$160 million, right? You don't approve at that same time the borrowing for \$160 million. You may approve --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I thought we authorize it at that time.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Not all the same projects. If you actually went through -- and I didn't know this either, to be very honest. I didn't know -- I literally thought when this county put together the capital plan you were approving borrowing for that entire capital plan; you're not. Even if the dollar amount is the same, and it could be -- we could approve -- the same day this legislature approves a capital plan, you can approve \$160 million in capital borrowing, dollar for dollar the same. However, when you look at the backup for the bonding that you're approving, it's projects that are started in 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 09, 10, some are saying 2011. So we never do it year by year, project --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Yeah, but you

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

actually are approving bonding, project specific.

So we do have to come back to you.

24

25

2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But if we knew
3	last year and it was 100 million at a time
4	and I remember seeing you at one of those
5	meetings where they were complaining - they,
6	meaning NIFA - that they didn't have the backup.
7	Then May of last year we got substantial
8	approval, \$100 million, all from money that was
9	either 09 or 10, majority I thought 10. So we're
10	doing the same thing this year where we haven't
11	asked NIFA for approval of most of the borrowing
12	for the 11 plan yet, but yet people are doing the
13	work. Why can't we just get it to NIFA sooner?
14	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: That's
15	what we are going to do. That's why I said we're
16	changing the process. Because even in 2010, we
17	were still paying with cash advance.
18	If you actually look at the
19	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: But last year was
20	11. Last year was 11.
21	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: If you
22	look
23	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And we went to
24	NIFA in May

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I would

2 | --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here it is February --

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I would tell you to do this. I would go back and look at the bonding that NIFA approved in 2011, in May or June, whenever they approved it. I don't even know what the date is. The months blend together when you're here. They weren't approving the 2010 or 2011 money. A lot of that money was 2008 projects, 2009 projects. Very little in 2011. Very little even in 2010. I'm just going to say this.

You look at the sewer and storm water.

We have spent more money in both Cedar Creek and

Bay Park than has been done in the past ten

years. Maybe that's because design takes a long

period of time. But these projects are 20, 30,

15, 18 -- these aren't \$1 million contracts.

They are a very high, very high amount of

contract, that we're doing all that work now. So

we're approving the bonding.

Part of the bonding that NIFA's going to approve is, I believe, 35 or \$45 million in sewer

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 and storm water money.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Correct.

projects have all -- those projects have started, or at least are going to start. They are big tickets items. Many of those projects were in the 2009, 2010, some were in 2011. But most of those projects, because it takes so long to design, the money was sitting there, never bonded. So you play --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I understand that there was --

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: a lot of catch up.

the sewer and storm water, there were plans for these expenditures. But my question -- it just doesn't seem that in the year that we've gone any quicker. And Mr. Herbst has done his job, saying if you don't get this to NIFA to get the approval, we're authorizing contractors to do work that they don't get the money back, which raises two questions. What if NIFA doesn't approve? What if NIFA doesn't approve? What do

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 we do then?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: First,

I believe they will. Secondly, then the county
has to -- let me say this. First of all, the
contracts were approved by the legislature and
NIFA. On those contracts that were approved by
NIFA is the account number. The account number
are all capital account numbers. So NIFA's
approving the use. NIFA's approving the use of a
capital fund. I believe they are going to
approve it.

I also think NIFA's being fair and doing their due diligence. We should not just take NIFA for granted and say, yes, they approved the contract, they approved the capital account number, that they're going to approve bonding. For whatever reason, maybe it doesn't fit into the multi-year plan, although I know it does. We actually -- we actually came in under budget because debt service, we are going to the market with better rates so we're actually saving money, saving money on debt service. And going to the market at the right time is very important.

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12 54
2	people aren't working. So all those factors play
3	a big role. So
4	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well
5	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Go
6	ahead.
7	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I'm sorry.
8	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: No, go
9	ahead.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So you're
11	assuming that based on the history and the need
12	for these projects and the approval that NIFA
13	will give us the approval?
14	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I do.
15	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: So that just
16	belies what I was saying, that I mean, I hope
17	you're right. I believe you're right. But I do
18	believe we need to get the backup and the
19	material to NIFA quicker so what happened last
20	year, in my opinion, should not of repeated this
21	year.
22	But with respect to a capital budget for
23	2012
24	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.
25	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: We were supposed

to get -- we, the legislature, was supposed to get from the county executive's office, a proposed capital budget and four year plan in October for us to vote on it by December. We haven't gotten one yet. I did write a letter requesting it. Am I to understand that we're not going to get one for 12 because we're going to

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Not at all. In fact, I'm getting you the information now, to the amount of dollars that were put out by this administration. And really public works, they deserve a tremendous amount of credit for the amount of work that went out.

catch up on 10 and 11 projects in 12?

I have sat with both contractors and consultants. They say if it wasn't for Nassau County they wouldn't be employed at all; the amount of work that is going out. So I take that and, again, I applaud them because they're the ones that are doing the work. We, in fact, get the easy job. We get to stand up here and say approve this contract. You get to approve this contract.

We've had more work go out and more --

going to get approval for that level of bonding.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Under the Charter and every year that I've been here a proposed capital plan and budget, which then has to be

approved by this body, as well as now by NIFA, is

supposed to be proposed by October 15 of each year. This is the first year that I've been up here, Mr. Walker, where a capital budget has not been approved. And I was just asking for a date. I mean, it's supposed to be proposed by the county executive. Whether it gets approved by this body or by NIFA, you don't have that approval before you propose something.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: You will have one proposed.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Alright.

Finally, something that you had always said yes the last two years to, and I think it would be good for Mr. Herbst, for the County, for anyone who's affected by projects, for the legislature as well as for the administration, I really think on a quarterly basis, through public works, we should review the status of each project, to know what we need to expedite, what we don't need to expedite, what's being changed, what's not being changed.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I'll review that with the commissioner. She has been doing it. I don't know what process, if it's

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: If this has already been explained, I apologize. There's

just been so much said.

Talking about a project where contractors have not been paid; how does that effect us if it's a project such as the Life Science Building at Nassau Community College, where there is matching funds by the state and the county if, in fact, the contractors are not paid? How does

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 that work?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Sure.

That's a contract in which I've actually spoke to the College, I think as recently as Thursday -- again, I don't remember what day it was. Here's where we are with that specific contract.

First off, we get reimbursed 50 percent from DASNY, as long as DASNY approves the reimbursement, which in 99.9 percent of the cases they have always approved our reimbursement. We have said to the College, the College submits the vouchers for reimbursement from DASNY. The county, meaning us, have always been on the hook, in terms of laying out all that cash. We don't believe necessarily that we should have to lay out all that cash even though we have in the past. That being said.

Some of those payments were made. We are receiving I think about a \$5.6 million reimbursement from DASNY. As soon as we were receiving that reimbursement we were going to pay the contractor. I believe possibly he could have even gotten paid today or tomorrow. But we're waiting to receive the reimbursement and then we

were going to advance additional dollars once we received that reimbursement. Here's what always happens, if you look at the Aquatic Center as a perfect example.

DASNY actually was the contractor who approved all the contracts at the Aquatic Center. I don't have to tell you the past practice and the history of the Aquatic Center. We're risking the light bulbs and fixtures because of the condensation buildup.

Whenever you need reimbursement from somebody, I am always fearful that somehow they're not going to reimburse. I said it about the ARA money. ARA money, because there were specific requirements that the federal government had, again, many with the protection of roadways, with diversified business enterprises, women and minority owned businesses, we had contractors that did not comply to those requirements, out and out did not comply to those requirements, some because they couldn't find employees or they couldn't find enough minority owned businesses by which to do work. We lost money. The county actually had to eat, eat dollars that we were

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 supposed to receive from the feds. We actually

3 | had to pay that. Same with DASNY. DASNY says

4 | they're going reimburse us for that building,

5 roughly a 36 or \$40 million building. We were

6 going to receive \$20 million back. What if DASNY

7 decides, for argument's sake, listen, you didn't

8 comply to this? You didn't comply. So now that

9 | \$20 million you're getting, you're only getting

10 | five. Who's on the hook? We are. It's coming

11 | out of our capital plan. It's not right.

I used that road project -- I was on the phone, not to say I have a great relationship because I worked in the State Legislature, but I know a lot of people. And we did our due diligence. We went back and forth, back and forth with the regional, with Region 10 of the DOT. Subi Chakraborti, you couldn't ask for a better regional director. And he bent over backwards, in working with us, to make sure that we were able to receive a lot of that money. We still lost. We still lost hundreds of thousands of dollars that we should never have lost, but the contractor did not comply. And I don't want to place that blame because it's not every

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

back.

There were two projects that were

It makes no sense. You're getting the money

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12 65
2	could have been reimbursed, so we don't
3	necessarily count it as a bond issue coming back.
4	But you may be 100 percent right.
5	LEGISLATOR WINK: What did that pay for?
6	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Various
7	projects. There's probably a list of 80
8	different projects.
9	LEGISLATOR WINK: But we know none of it
10	went to the contractors
11	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It did.
12	It went to their contractors. Some of the
13	contractors that haven't been paid were getting
14	paid along the way, but we stopped at a point
15	where NIFA said potentially they will not approve
16	the bonding. We stopped cash advances at that
17	point.
18	LEGISLATOR WINK: Of the \$4.5 million
19	that Mr. Herbst brought with him today, worth of
20	invoices, none of those were part of the 45
21	million.
22	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: No,
23	that's why they didn't get paid.
24	LEGISLATOR WINK: Okay.
25	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: The

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12 66
2	cash advances are literally payments that we made
3	to contractors.
4	LEGISLATOR WINK: Do we have any idea
5	what type of backlog we're looking at right now?
6	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: It's
7	roughly right around 4.5 million.
8	LEGISLATOR WINK: I'm not talking about
9	just from Mr. Herbst; I'm talking about in
10	general.
11	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: That's
12	it.
13	LEGISLATOR WINK: That's it? Everybody
14	else got paid except for Mr. Herbst's people?
15	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER:
16	Everybody else is being paid. There's still
17	people getting paid because you did have NIFA
18	approve that, as Mr. Denenberg mentioned. You
19	did have \$100 million that was approved by NIFA.
20	Many of those projects many of those projects
21	were approved, so those people are still getting
22	paid. We're paying people on a daily basis.
23	LEGISLATOR WINK: But that \$45 million,
24	that was for things that NIFA hasn't yet
25	approved?

LEGISLATOR WINK: Alright. Well, I'm sure we'll have the opportunity to ask Mr.

Maragos and his people where that money went and why it hasn't gone to the contractors.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We'll be glad to give you a list of the 45 or 55 million. OMB can give you a list. NIFA has the same list. We can tell you exactly what specific projects there were.

LEGISLATOR WINK: I look forward to seeing that. I look forward to seeing that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Troiano.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Thank you. I may have missed understood what you said earlier, based on Legislator Wink's questioning. I thought you said that 45 million was paid out already.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes, it was. That's -- we consider a cash advance actually payments that went to contractors.

LEGISLATOR TROIANO: And those payments are supposed to be approved, at least the bonding for those payments have to be approved by NIFA.

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12 70
2	don't believe we're going to finish in a deficit,
3	that 2011 2010 finished with a \$28 million
4	surplus. 2010 we believe is going to finish with
5	a surplus. 2011 finished in a surplus.
6	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: So we have a \$90
7	million surplus? You're saying we have cash on
8	hand.
9	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: We have
10	a \$90 million fund balance as it sits today. We
11	went from a \$72 million fund balance in 2009 to a
12	\$90 million fund balance today.
13	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: I didn't anticipate
14	going here. But if that's the case, if that's
15	the case why are we laying off people now?
16	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: I'm
17	sorry?
18	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: If we're going to
19	close the year with a surplus and I didn't
20	intend to go here when I first started asking the
21	question. But if we're going to finish the year
22	with a surplus why are we laying people off?
23	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Because
24	part of finishing with a surplus is to take those
25	actions so we can actually finish with a surplus.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2012 budget.

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 that.

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: The county always paid capital funds out of cash and then bonded at the right time, the time it's good to go to the market with, so the county borrowed at that time.

We're not borrowing any more for capital projects than was done last year. In fact, we actually borrowed \$10 million less. We borrowed \$10 million less in the 2011 plan, that the 2011 plan actually called for. We had \$100 million in capital borrowing. We are actually only borrowing 90 because jobs were coming in cheaper and things of that nature, so we were able to save money. You're actually bonding less than what the multi-year plan actually called for, not more, but less.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: So let me ask you this. Does the comptroller agree with you that we have a \$90 million fund balance?

DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Yes.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: They agree. If so, what contributed to that \$90 million fund balance?

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12 75
2	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Great
3	budgeting and great management by the county
4	executive.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Prudent management.
6	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. I will end it
7	at that.
8	DEPUTY COUNTY EXECUTIVE WALKER: Thank
9	you.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
11	Herbst. We will be watching that meeting on
12	Wednesday and hopefully things will be resolved.
13	Next speaker is Joseph Volker.
14	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Joseph, for one
15	minute, please.
16	We went beyond the 30 minutes of public
17	participation. But, nevertheless, there are so
18	many of you who are here today to speak on
19	different issues. All I ask is that if you can
20	keep it to three minutes, it would be
21	appreciated, so everyone would have an
22	opportunity to address the legislature.
23	Thank you, Joseph, for coming.
24	MR. VOLKER: Thank you. I will wait
25	until you reassemble. Thank you.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: What do you mean reassemble? Wait a minute. Mr. Volker, Mr. Volker, we're here. We are here. Mr. Abrahams and Mr. Schmitt had to go to a meeting, but the rest of us are all here. So, please feel free to address us.

MR. VOLKER: Okay. Thank you so much. I'm here on behalf of Samantha Frederickson of the ACLU, Doug Mayers of the NAACP, and Haux Cristi, the Catholic Peace and Justice Movement, the Nassau Affirmative Action Project. We've spoken to you in the past, before this body. I hear the frustration of the contractors with regard to their wanting to be paid. There's obviously a lot of interest in that and a lot of questioning. But the topic that I am speaking about and going to speak about is also in the Charter.

And it says in the Charter, there shall be a visitor's board within the Nassau County Correction Center. Again, the frustration of the Charter being ignored. I'm calling upon this body to address this nonpartisan issue. We appealed to both sides of the chamber with regard

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 to restoring the visitor's board.

Of course, the fact is that there's one difference between the previous speaker and myself. And that this visitor's board cost the county exactly nothing. The people, the seven people who will be put on this board, named to this board, will serve free, without charge, to the county. The resources that are necessary to enable this board are already available at the county jail.

For one reason or another Mr. Mangano and his predecessors as well have either been reluctant, or negligent, or just not prodded enough, perhaps a lack of interest or whatever it may be, that they have not submitted the names of people who are to participate on this board. It calls for seven members. And at this time, we would like to present seven outstanding citizens who are willing to serve on this board at no expense to the county.

And we have a letter here. It says: We, the undersigned, would like to volunteer for a position of the Nassau County Correctional Center Board of Visitors, and ask that you put forth our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

names to the county executive and request our appointment.

Article 20, 2004, of the Nassau County Charter requires that the county establish and maintain a board of visitors to act as an oversight committee at the Nassau County Correctional Center. The county executive is tasked with appointing individuals to this board to serve three years terms. To be qualified an individual must be a Nassau County resident, who possesses a working knowledge of the correctional system. We are all qualified to serve on the board of visitors, and ask that you give our names to Executive Mangano and ask for our appointment. Our resumes are included here. That's signed by Mr. John Brickman, Esquire, he was a former board of visitors appointee; Patricia Delatto; Kathleen Kearns, Douglas Mayers; Robert Summerville, who was also formerly appointed to the board; myself, and Lancett Waldron.

CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have expired.

MR. VOKER: I don't think that -- I

ı	1
1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12 79
2	think that, in terms of this, we would like to
3	present this to the board and present this to the
4	legislature for the action which these
5	signatories request.
6	Thank you so much.
7	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Mr. Volker,
8	please give it to the gentleman at the desk, and
9	we will submit it to the county executive.
10	MR. VOLKER: Also, I am submitting from
11	Pace Law Review, an article by Mr. Brickman on
12	the role of civilian organizations with prison
13	access and citizen members, The New York
14	Experience. It explains how these visitors'
15	boards, these types of civilian oversight, can be
16	helpful to the county and the correctional
17	center.
18	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Again, Mr.
19	Volker, submit it to the clerk and we will
20	distribute it to the rest of the legislature.
21	Thank you for coming.
22	Legislator Jacobs. Mr. Volker.
23	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Judy. Hi.
24	MR. VOLKER: Yes.
25	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: We met for a while.

MR. VOLKER: Yes, we did. Thank you for your interest.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I did write to the county executive. I'm waiting at this point for an answer. I also wrote to the prior two county executives to try to find out why something was created and never acted upon, and there might be a legitimate reason. I don't know. I'm not excusing that. I'm just saying to you I think it behooves us to find out what the history was.

I know Mr. Gulotta very well. I certainly know Mr. Suozzi very well. I know under Mr. Gulotta this was formed and unanimously passed by the Board of Supervisors. So you would have to think there was some kind of a -- I don't want to use the word urgency, but obviously something in a bipartisan way everybody agreed with. Somewhere along the line, right after that, it never happened, of course, under Mr. Suozzi. I believe it may have started in the latter years of Mr. Gulotta. The federal government had come in to do oversight at the jail, which may have, in their minds, rendered the visitors board not necessary. Now here we

1

are at another administration. Before we jump, I

3

want to make sure we walk, and get these answers.

Now, I just met with you I believe on

4

5 Thursday, maybe Friday -- Thursday or Friday of

6

last week. Today's Monday. So I have not gotten

7

any answers yet, and I think once we do, then we

8

should really see what the answers are and if

9

they make sense or not to us.

10

people who are interested. But the actual

11 12

appointments are done by the county executive not

I know you gave a very good group of

13

by us. Let's see what happens. I don't want you

14

to think it went unheeded when I met with you. I

15

did get it out to most of -- a lot of the

16

legislators, so that everyone would know.

17 18

and the sheriff, and different people that should

Certainly it went out to the county executive,

19

9 know that this was going out.

MR. VOLKER:

20

certainly didn't think that it went unheeded. I

2122

do hope, though, that this will not delay the

23

process. Just as there is an urgency for these

24

contractors to be paid, there is an urgency that

25

the visitors at the county jail begin to be

Thank you so much.

treated with the respect and human dignity that
they deserve and that the law calls for, and not
to be discouraged. They stand out in the pouring
rain, in the freezing cold, thunder and
lightning; I've seen it with my own eyes. And
these people, when there's adequate, more than
adequate space for them to be sheltered. So the
visitors certainly need as much as urgent as this
contractor issue is, this is also urgent. I hope
that the legislators take as much interest in
this as in the payment of the contractors, which
is certainly worthy and just.

And there's other issues as well, and you'll hear about them. There's medical issues; whether the prisoners are receiving the medical treatment or not.

So I just want to response to that, that I do appreciate everything you're doing, Mr.

Jacobs, and I look forward to the responses you receive. Thank you so much.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: And I think no matter whether it's the contractors or the visitors to the jail, that due diligence is probably one of the most important things. We

shouldn't circumvent in any case. And I think that's what everyone -- at least that's what I'm aiming for in this issue.

MR. VOLKER: I appreciate that. Thank you so much.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Thank you, Mr. Volker.

MR. VOLKER: Thank you.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Pamela Neely.

Pamela Neely? Is she here?

MS NEELY: My name

MS. NEELY: My name is Pamela Neely, and I'm the co-director of Nassau Inmate Advocacy Group. I too am speaking on the visitors committee.

One of my positions is to correspond with some of the inmates present and former inmates in the county jail. And I constantly hear a lot of complaints, and it's very, very discouraging because they're talking about -- one of the complaints is the visitors, family visits in there, what they have to go through for visiting. There's poor medical care over there, and there's a lack of heat, without having proper clothing, and the list can go on and on. And I'm not here

to talk to you about the complaints. I'm here to ask you to help us to get this visitor's board in place.

Thank you.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Thank you, Pamela, for coming.

Morris Glen Levine.

MR. LEVINE: Yes. My name is Morris

Glen Levine. I was a former inmate at the Nassau

County Jail. And I stayed there 47 days, from

August 8 through September 21 of this year.

I experienced a lot of difficulties when I was in jail. As you know, I spoke before about my difficulties. I have several medical issues that I had to deal with when I was in jail, such as I have a -- I need to be on a Gluten-free diet; I was not given my Gluten-free diet. I was not given my blood pressure medication, which I have severe high blood pressure. And I was not given my asthma medication, which I was -- I had a lot of problems breathing and they wanted to give me the wrong medication, which I'm highly allergic to. They didn't even know the difference between the rescue inhaler and a

1

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 maintenance inhaler. For anybody who has asthma, 3 it's not something to play with. Also, one day I

4 had chest pains and they wanted to give me

5 | Tylenol, just for chest pains. No EKG. No other

6 medical tests to see why I was having chest

pains, which I do have E-Fib. And I was not

8 given my E-Fib medication.

Also, there was no services for somebody like myself who is Jewish. There was no rabbithere.

Just recently, as you know, about two and a half, three weeks ago, on a Saturday there was this death. Somebody got beat up. One of the inmates got beat up at the Nassau County Jail and died. Why does this have to happen when all we're asking for is the board of visitors? The board of visitors would actually protect and do advocacy when there's problems in the jail. does somebody have to die? This has been in the Charter since, in your Charter for 22 years. Why can't it be implemented the way it should be? Why? Because nobody cares. Nobody cares about us because we're a minority. And quess what? We're not a minority. We're the majority.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As a matter of fact, I'm handing you 2 over, to all you legislators, I'm handing you 3 4 over copies of what we're asking for and the 5 project we're asking for. It's free. We're not 6 asking for money. It's in the Charter already. 7 There's no reason why it cannot be implemented the way it should be. I don't understand. 8 9 does it have to be? It has to be one of you 10 people or when of your family members has to be 11 in the jail to realize that there's problem? 12 CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have 13 expired, sir. MR. LEVINE: Please get on this. 14 Wake 15 up and smell the coffee. 16 LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Mr. Levine, just 17 give that to Michael here and he'll give it to 18

the clerk for distribution. Thank you very much. Claudia Borecky.

MS. BORECKY: Claudia Borecky, President of the North and Central Merrick Civic Association, and a founding member of the Sludge Stoppers. And I'm here to talk about the fact that the republican majority voted to sell or lease three of our sewage treatment plants as

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 part of the budget.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You're counting on this income as if it's already part of the budget and as if it's already a done deal, and yet we never heard about this. How can you sell our assets from under us without even asking us? Then again, after that, you signed a contract with Morgan Stanley, paying them \$100,000 a quarter, \$500,000 in total, for making a deal with an investor to sell or lease our three plants. So what we have here is Morgan Stanley, who will make no less than \$5 million if you broker a deal, whether it's a good deal or bad deal, and you have the county executive who is just looking to fill the gap, and there is nobody in this conversation from the people that are protecting the rights of the people, the safety of our families and our environment.

Our sewage treatment plants are already operating in the black. There's over \$74 million in reserves. So we don't understand why you are selling them. They are our assets and they belong to us. We need to be part of the conversation.

In my community we have a private water

company that we pay our water bills to, and we are paying three times more than those who are paying for public water. The same thing is going to happen with the sewage treatment plants. No one is going to invest \$1.3 billion without expecting to reap huge profits off our tax dollars. This is nothing more than a backdoor tax. You and your republican colleagues voted

I don't want to have to worry about whether I can afford to flush the toilet; that's what it's come down to. I don't want to read in tomorrow's paper that a bidder is already awarded and an agreement is signed, and we didn't hear anything about this.

for this tax increase. We can't afford it.

We are asking for a citizen advisory committee to be chosen by we, the people. And we, the people, do not want to be left in the dark any longer, like you did with Veolia, the transportation. We want a say in what you decide to do with our assets. So we're asking for public hearings now, not after the deal's already made on the day of the vote, and we're asking for public input now. We'd like to be included in

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 the conversation.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Ms. Borecky, I would like you to know that no action has been taken by this body. Right now we're looking into the findings that may come forth by Morgan Stanley. Until then, no decision to private or form a public/private partnership on the sewage plants is being considered at this point.

MS. BORECKY: The --

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Go ahead, Ms. Borecky.

MS. BORECKY: The RFPs went out. The RFQs went out, and they've already chosen three companies. Three companies are putting their bids in. And, as I understand it, they will be deciding -- the bids are up tomorrow. I don't want to see a deal that we don't have to have a say in. We would like to see what's going to be happening. So to say that it's not happening, that's not true. You voted to put that money in the budget. You're counting on the \$1.3 billion to fill the budget.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Excuse me. Ms. Borecky, listen to me. That may be true, but

4

5

6

7

9

8

10

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

those RFPs or RFQs are preliminary actions on the part of the administration. Until anything is -until this body considers the public/private partnership on the part of the administration, no action has been taken and will be taken until we are fully versed on what's going on.

Right now I have Legislator Ford who would like to speak to you.

LEGISLATOR FORD: As you are aware, we have a Western Bays working group that has paid a lot of attention to, of course, the Western Bays, and watched the Cedar Creek and also the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant. As a matter of fact, we had a meeting last week with the group, and Deputy County Executive Rob Walker did come in and briefly address us on the issue of the sewage treatment plants. Yes, RFPs did go out for an operator, that they will be looking at that. After they -- if they decide to choose an operator, it has to come before us. And it won't be until next year, if they do make any changes, whether or not to have a private operator or to have somebody then to lease property to somebody else, to help in coordination with an operator to

run those sewage treatment plants. That has not come before us.

Just to let you know, one of the recommendations that had been made at that Western Bays meeting was that perhaps somebody from an environmental group, beyond on the board of the people that are meeting in regard to these sewage treatment plants, said that they can express their concerns as to if it does go private what needs to be done to protect our waterways and to make sure that the sewage treatment plants operate in accordance with state law and federal law.

This is not something that we're, you know, turning a deaf -- a blind eye to. That we are looking at this and we're trying to follow it up as much as we can.

But I also want to point out, you know, that as you talked about under the republicans.

I just want to point out to you and everyone else that, yes, it was under the republicans some votes were taken. When one of the most important things that we did was to allocate and make sure that the work was done at the Bay Park Sewage

Treatment Plant, to finally repair a lot of the damage that had gone on unfixed for many, many years, prior to Ed Mangano taking over. And also, even though there was a lot discussion about having the Jones Beach Sewage Treatment Plant brought over to the outflow pipe of the Cedar Creek Park. It wasn't until Ed Mangano took over, under the Republican Party, that finally the work has been started and soon to be completed, that the Jones Beach Sewage Treatment Plant will not be pumping out into Zach's Bay anymore, but will go to the outflow pipe, along with Cedar Creek.

I think that I would also urge you to, like, look at the records of everybody here. And maybe you may not agree with all the votes that we've taken, but I have to say that we've done a lot of good also for this county and especially with our sewage treatment plants.

MS. BORECKY: Thank you, Legislator

Ford. I know that you and also Legislator

Denenberg have been very active on the Western

Bays. I've been to many of those meetings. And

I think it's a wonderful thing, the way they're

_	
1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	studying, to see how we can improve our bays.
3	However, if this becomes a private sale, there is
4	no guarantee that this new company will take any
5	of those issues into consideration. Why would
6	they invest money in improving our bays if
7	they're not going to make profits out of it?
8	It's profit driven.
9	LEGISLATOR FORD: We're not selling.

LEGISLATOR FORD: We're not selling. It's not a -

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Let's be clear. LEGISLATOR FORD: sale of the sewage treatment plants.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: It's not a sale. LEGISLATOR FORD: What it is is they're -- what the RFP is is to find an operator. Once they choose an operator, then the county will determine whether or not they will lease the property. The county will not give up the property. They will continue ownership of the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant, that is basically what has been explained to us. the same thing, regardless. And it's not a given that it is going to go to a private operator. This is something that we have to look at. And

we are going to have hearings on this. We want to make sure that we follow our due diligence on something like this because it does impact not only all the residents in Nassau County but also our environment, as well.

Regardless of whether or not somebody is a public or private, they would have to adhere to the same laws, whether or not you're a private operator or a public operator. So, I mean, it's not something that we're just going to give over to somebody and then let them do whatever they want, if they want to damage the Western Bays.

We've invested a lot of time, money, and effort in cleaning up our sewage treatment plants and trying to endeavor -- and even working with New York State with this TMDL study, which we need so desperately. We're looking for other opportunities as well, to make sure that we follow through. I think that the group in the past two years, especially, has done a lot more than they've done. We're really working together. For us, the intent is that we don't go backwards, we always go forward.

Regardless of who owns a sewage treatment

have. That's why I think -- I hear you're saying

of local control how much say we'll actually

24

25

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 about hearings, but I want them now and not on the day the deal is made.

LEGISLATOR FORD: We agree on that.

MS. BORECKY: Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Mr. Denenberg, let's go.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: First of all, I wanted to thank you for being down here. I think everything you said is extremely well taken. we would spend public money on RFQs, just like we did RFQs to come down to an operator for the bus. Then what's going to happen starting tomorrow, one operator out of three is going to be chosen and a deal is going to be negotiated, just like we did for Veolia for the bus. A deal is going to be negotiated, and the 2012 to 15 multi-year fiscal plan, our budget, relies on gap closing actions of selling the sewage treatment plants. They could say, well, it's a concession lease or similar arrangement, but it's going to be 50 to 99 years, or, according to the budget document that was voted on by the majority, it's the sale, transfer, or other disposition involving all or any portion of the system. Now's the time for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the hearings, before Morgan Stanley was hired for half a million dollars to come up with a contract that then we'll have a hearing only once on the contract itself. You know what? We've spent too much time. There's been too much money allocated in capital plans. You saw how behind we are on some of these. For all legislators to invest the money to try to clean up the plants. But the concept of a private operator is clearly going to drive the price up; it does when it's water. And if you're voting for Morgan Stanley and if you're voting for a budget that has a billion dollars or \$500 million worth of gap closing measures by one shots, then you're showing that you want to privatize the plant. Now's the time for hearings. To say come back, go to sleep, come back, we're not going to sell the plants next year is to tell you to try to go away, keep it quiet. Because Tim Sullivan, who is the deputy county executive, and I have his testimony, he said that the plan will come to the legislature by the third quarter of 2012, period. And the more you read that the budget shortfalls are growing, the more they're going to need this gap

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 closing measure this year.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Legislator Kopel.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Thank you. Ms.

Borecky, how are you?

MS. BORECKY: Okay.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: I just want to echo some of what Legislator Ford says and kind of disagree with what my colleague, Dave, said, in some ways.

I think that you've got to look back at the history of what this legislature and the current administration have actually done, and I think you need to give us some, a little bit of credit because, in fact, in fact the Bay Park Plant, it's in my district, was a disaster. Ιt was a total disaster and I don't think anyone says any differently. It was in danger of total collapse. It's not any more. It's on the way to recovery. We're not about to jeopardize that. That is a wonderful record. It's a tremendous accomplishment that we've made and we're not going to jeopardize that. We want to move forward with that.

The other thing that I'd like to point

1

2 | out is people ought not to be afraid of looking

3 at something that's different. Something that's

4 different is unusual, you've got to be careful,

5 but you do need to look at it. If you go around

6 | the country, this is just not an unusual thing.

7 | In other words -- either way, don't misunderstand

8 and think that I'm prejudging it and saying that

9 we ought to sell it. I'm simply saying that some

10 | sewage plants and other public utilities that are

11 operated by private operators, they work.

12 | Sometimes they don't work. Sometimes they do

13 | work. It depends who it is. It depends what the

14 contract says. Sometimes a private operator can

15 do things better, faster, and cheaper than a

16 public operator can. Sometimes they'll -- and,

17 | by the way, what you do is you put performance

18 guarantees into a contract, and performance

19 | quarantees have financial incentives and

20 | financial penalties to make sure that it works

21 | right. There's nothing that drives a private

22 | operator better than financial incentives and

23 penalties.

So, all I'm suggesting to you is that

25 you're correct in being concerned. You're

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	correct in watching. You're correct in wanting
3	to make sure that this gets done right. But I am
4	further suggesting that give it a little time and
5	watch the process unfold, and trust that we're
6	going to make tremendous progress, that we're
7	going to make more progress and not let what
8	we've already done go to waste.
9	MS. BORECKY: Legislator Kopel, thank
10	you. But I'd like to let you know that I've done
11	a little bit of research. Sometimes people think
12	that the private enterprises can do it better,
13	but actual records show that they haven't.
14	In fact, the very claim that Morgan
15	Stanley makes of both doing a deal between
16	Indianapolis was a deal that Indianapolis, the
17	City of Indianapolis took back their water from
18	Veolia because it didn't work out. The very deal
19	that they're talking about is publicizing their
20	water from the private company that's one of the
21	bidders that failed them.
22	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: You're talking about
23	the bus contract now?
24	MS. BORECKY: No.
25	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Veolia?

MS. BORECKY: I'm talking about sewage treatment plants in Indianapolis. The very example that Morgan Stanley uses as their success story was taking back perfect water from a private company, Veolia, one of the bidders. I can give you stories about the other two bidders as well.

right, by the way, when you say that sometimes private operators don't do a good job. I can also tell you that public operators sometimes do a bad job, and the proof is right over here in our own plants. They did a terrible job. So, you know, we've got to be careful; I think that's the only lesson that we need to learn.

Public operators can do a terrible job.

Private operators can do a wonderful job, and

vice versa. We've just got to be careful, and we

will be careful.

MS. BORECKY: I think we just need public oversight.

LEGISLATOR KOPEL: For sure.

MS. BORECKY: These sewage treatment plants were blue ribbon plants at one time. Yes,

Okay. John Webber.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WEBBER: Thank you. My name's John Webber. I work for the Surf Rider Foundation, which is a coastal environmental group. And I, too, am here because hundreds of our members in

Nassau County are concerned with the sewage treatment plants. And of course, most of them are working at this hour, so I am speaking on their behalf.

This concerns our organization because it was one of our members that videotaped, out of his living room window, videotaped the horrible failures of the Bay Park Sewage Treatment Plant and put those illegal, criminal discharges of raw sewage on You-Tube. So, while my organization would say we would be the first to say yes, the administration took action and fixed it, that wouldn't have happened unless it was one of my members with a video camera that took that action and put that up on You Tube. Legislator Ford, you mentioned it, and Legislator Kopel, you mentioned it, and don't think that would've happened unless one of my members put that up on You Tube.

And the same person, as well as myself, have been going to these meetings of the Western Bays working group; attended by county officials, representatives of the administration. No less than half a dozen people that are sitting up

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

we're talking about it now. It's been out there

The first speaker from the construction association, based on what he said I've got to

the possibility of putting out these RFQs.

seems like it's gone the other way around.

22

23

24

25

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	
	ask this question. Did Morgan Stanley get paid
3	this quarter? They got paid. Okay. So it
4	sounds a lot to me like the guys who wear hard
5	hats and construction boots, they're not getting
6	paid, but the Wall Street bankers are getting
7	paid.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The financial
9	breakdown of the county that was explained at
LO	great length when we were discussing the
L1	situation with Mr. Herbst, the money for his
L2	people is bonded money. They're waiting for it
L3	to be approved by NIFA. It's bonded. The money
L4	from Morgan Stanley comes out of operating funds.
L5	That means you just write a check and give it to
L6	them because it's sitting in the bank.
L7	MR. WEBBER: I understand. My point is
L8	people of the county should get a chance to talk
L9	about this, this concept of leasing these plants
20	before these RFPs went out and before any of this
21	happened. That's all.
22	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: To the Chair,
23	question to you. Mr. Schmitt.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Mr. Denenberg.
25	LEGISLATOR DENENRERG: Refore September

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	when we got a copy of the 2012-15 multi-year
3	financial, I, personally, and no one in my caucus
4	knew that the county had engaged Morgan Stanley
5	as a self-sided advisor to assist in evaluating a
6	public/private partnership. Are you saying you
7	knew for the last year?
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'm not sure when I
9	knew.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I can certainly
11	say that it came as a surprise
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I'm sure it was
13	before you, but I'm
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: to every member
15	of my caucus.
16	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: when I knew.
17	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: If you knew
18	before me, it just goes to show that I would
19	agree then with Mr. Webber; that before such a
20	monumental decision's being made and someone's
21	engaged to conduct in a self-sided advising to
22	assist on a public/private partnership, the
23	public should know and we should have hearings.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Anything else?
25	MR. WEBBER: No.

2 CHAIRMAN SO

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

John Jaronczyk.

MR. JARONCZYK: Good afternoon, Mr. Schmitt and ladies and gentlemen of the legislature. I don't know if all of you are aware of this. On January 9 I attempted to address the Public Safety Committee. I was not allowed to do that at that time. So the reason why I am here now is on January 12 they demoted 30 correction corporals at the correctional center.

Now, this is not about salary. This is not about the money those correction corporals are out. This is about the safety at the jail.

Now, to you, I don't know if you understand what demoting 30 frontline supervisors means in a correctional type facility. In the meantime, I wrote a letter to Legislator Dunne, who is the chairman of the Public Safety Committee, and I cc'd every legislator just to clearly show the issues we have with these demotions.

Now, the next day after the demotions our union was in court. We believe the demotions were done illegally and unlawfully, and that's an

2 | issue to be decided by a judge for a different 3 | day. But what I'm here today to show you is the

4 problems that are at the jail right now, and

5 you've heard a lot of them before, but the

6 problems about security at the jail now.

Now, on any given day there's anywhere from 17 to 19 housing areas that require corporal supervision. Since January 12 we have had ten.

So, every day there's seven or eight housing in the areas that have no frontline supervisor working there. Now that's for one tour. For the day it's 14 or 16 areas that are not supervised by a corporal. When we're at maximum capacity, there's 21 housing areas that we have at the jail. Again, then we'll be short 11 corporals at that point.

And I'm not here to beat up the sheriff.

I'm not here to beat up County Executive Mangano.

I understand everyone has a job to do. But they also have a job to do to run the jail safely for the officers, for the inmates, and for the visitors that come there.

Now, since 2009 we've had over a ten percent reduction in correction officers

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 staffing. Our headcount has gone down 10

3 percent. Since 2009, we've had a 40 percent

4 | reduction in frontline supervisors in corporals.

5 | It doesn't take a rocket scientist to figure out

6 that if it normally costs you \$100 to fill up

7 | your gas tank and you can go 200 miles for the

8 week, if you only pay \$60 you're not going to get

9 | that same 200 miles out of that \$60 worth of gas;

10 | it's no different with supervision at the jail.

11 If you reduce the supervisors by 40 percent,

12 you've lessened security at the jail.

I know County Executive Mangano had his spokeswoman say that we're just being silly and childish and supervision has not been reduced. But I'm not here to tell you it has. It absolutely has.

All of this was for a total salary savings of just over \$214,000. So right now this legislature voted to approve these demotions to save \$214,000 worth of money, for 30 corporals. It's not a lot of money. One lawsuit and that's gone exponentially. And it's happened before in the past. In the early 1990's they tried to cut corners at the jail. They had one officer patrol

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	two observation tiers and that saved money at the
3	time, until an inmate was assaulted by another
4	inmate. The officer was on the other tier, and
5	that inmate was awarded \$900,000. So the money
6	they were looking to save in 1992, they paid back
7	tenfold. This is no different.
8	I'm asking you to rethink your decision
9	about the corporals. Now I know what the
10	answer's going to be. I'm not holding my breath.
11	I'm just telling you you don't realize what's
12	happened at that jail.
13	So far, year to date, just this month
14	alone, today's January 30, we've already had an
15	inmate homicide at the facility. That was before
16	the demotions. They started off the weak with an
17	inmate homicide and they ended the week by
18	demoting 30 frontline supervisors, to save
19	\$214,000. That doesn't make much sense to
20	anybody.
21	CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have
22	expired.
23	MR. JARONCZYK: Thank you very much.
24	They've already had 20 uses of force by
25	staff to stop inmates' aggressive and lawful

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

They've had at least 25 officers actions. sustaining injuries in performance of their duties as correction officers. This past Friday alone an officer was assaulted at the gatehouse by an ex-inmate who was there to post bail. And he's posting bail for a currently incarcerated person. Now, the gatehouses are opened 24 hours, seven days a week, 365 days a year.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Could you wrap up, please?

MR. JARONCZYK: No problem, Legislator Schmitt.

But, unfortunately, only during the 40 hours of the current visiting are the gatehouses doubled up, where there are two officers there. So for 76 percent of the time that gatehouse officer is by himself. They are allowing people to come in 24 hours a day, seven days a week to post bail or to put money on inmate's account, but the officer is there by himself. We had over 40,000 people come and visit the jail last year, and you add to that number the list of people posting bails and dropping off money and you have a lot more visitors at the jail. You've got one

Full Legislature - 1-30-12

officer alone, vulnerable to unlawful visitors

4 | jail.

This is not about salary. It's about safety. All these moves were done to save \$214,000. It doesn't make sense.

and you can see why there are problems at the

Like I said, I cc'd everyone a copy of the letter. I met with Legislator Dunne. I met with Legislator Gonsalves. I met with a lot of legislators up here. I'm always here to talk about it. I'm not here to beat up Sheriff Sposato. I'm not here to beat up County Executive Mangano. But they have a duty and an obligation to run that jail safely, and that's not being done.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

You're asking us to reconsider our decision to demote those corporals. That was not our decision. That was not a decision made by this legislature. That was a decision made on the second floor in the administration, the county executive and his people, and you should be asking them to reconsider.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Through --

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Audrey Mames.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: I just wanted to straighten out one part of what John had mentioned.

John, just to have it on record. When that prisoner was killed on a Saturday, that Monday you came in to speak, not knowing what you were going to say -- and having to be in the position where we have to protect Nassau County -- not knowing what you were going to say. Two reason that I wasn't able to hear what you had to say at that meeting. Well, three reasons.

One. We go on what the agenda is and that's it, unless there's something I want and I bring you up to question you on. That's one.

Two. That young guy was murdered on Saturday and here it was Monday. I didn't know if you were going to say something on the record that could put us in a bad light if we are sued. That morning on News 12 the mother said she was going to sue us. So I didn't want anything to go on record that could hurt the county. Your not knowing or my asking a question could have hurt

Full Legislature - 1-30-12 our chances if, in the event, there is litigation.

Number three. I wanted the entire legislature to be here to hear what you had to say, and I'm glad we did. What you did say interests all of us, not just the few that's on that committee. So it's very important that you speak to the entire legislature and give us your feelings of what's going on in the jail in your eyes. So that's the reason why I didn't have you speak at that public committee, the public safety committee.

MR. JARONCZYK: I appreciate that,

Legislator Dunne. And just for the record, I

told you that day and I told you since we met, I

was not there to talk about the inmate homicide

that happened at the jail because it was still

being investigated by the proper authorities and

I was not going to comment on something that was

still under investigation. But I was there to

talk about the impending demotions of the

corporals and the public safety issues we have at

that jail, and that's what I was talking about.

And they started off that week with an

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	inmate homicide, and they ended that same week by
3	demoting 13 corporals, which to me is asinine.
4	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: John, I just want to
5	say something.
6	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Jacobs.
7	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I'm sorry.
8	First place, I can't believe what I've
9	just heard. Certainly, at every committee
10	meeting there shouldn't be we should not be
11	censoring the people that want to get up and
12	speak to us. If something is touched upon during
13	a comment that we don't have to exchange ideas on
14	it and we could say we'd like to say that that's
15	not the proper place to discuss this.
16	I feel badly for you. I feel badly for
17	anyone out there who gets up to speak. And we're
18	going to be the censors of whether we think you
19	should speak or not. Let me tell you, there
20	isn't a committee meeting that goes on here that
21	is not open to the public, and sometimes I think
22	we forget that. I apologize. I apologize.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Ford.
24	LEGISLATOR FORD: John, thank you. I
25	iust want clarification. I don't know if I heard

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	you properly. When you talk about the offices,
3	the guard shack
4	MR. JARONCZYK: The gatehouse.
5	LEGISLATOR FORD: The gatehouse. I'm
6	sorry. In the past there had always been two
7	officers in that guardhouse and now there's just
8	one?
9	MR. JARONCZYK: There were additional
10	patrol vehicles and additional gatehouse officers
11	that were there. Now it's down to one officer.
12	And during the visiting hours there's two
13	officers there.
14	LEGISLATOR FORD: Just the two.
15	MR. JARONCZYK: It's been intermittently
16	one and two officers.
17	LEGISLATOR FORD: You said the visiting
18	hours is 24 hours a day
19	MR. JARONCZYK: No, no. The visiting
20	hours are not 24 hours a day. The jail has to
21	accept anyone who is posting a bail 24 hours a
22	day.
23	LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay.
24	MR. JARONCZYK: So even when visiting is
25	closed and that officer is by himself, someone

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	could come and post bail to bail out another
3	incarcerated person. As a matter of fact, what
4	happened on Friday, even though it did happen
5	during visiting hours, it was not a visitor going
6	in. It was someone going to try to post bail,
7	and he assaulted the officer. So had that been
8	done when there was only one officer there, it
9	could lead to a more serious problem.
10	LEGISLATOR FORD: So then the officer
11	would be in the gatehouse.
12	MR. JARONCZYK: Correct.
13	LEGISLATOR FORD: And if there's only
14	one and somebody wants to come in to post bail,
15	does he have to leave his post to bring that
16	person there or he just lets them in?
17	MR. JARONCZYK: He doesn't have to leave
18	his post, but he's by himself out there. That's
19	really the issue.
20	LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much.
21	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Legislator Bosworth.
22	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Thank you,
23	Presiding Officer.
24	John, I think we all have to take very
25	seriously the concerns that you're bringing to

us. When we first heard about the demotions many of us here expressed that concern. And I understand that there are tremendous budget challenges that we're facing that the county executive is working very hard to address them. But when it comes to public safety, when it comes to the safety of our officers, I don't think there's room to play with that.

I know that the minority caucus did vote against that. So to say that we had nothing to do with it I think is -- that's why we have a system of checks and balances. The executive makes one recommendation and it's up to us to either support it or not support it. Clearly it was supported by more people than wasn't and that's why it was put into place.

I'm concerned about it. I think it's something we do need to address. I'm so glad that you're coming to us, so that no one can ever say we didn't know. We know, you're telling us.

MR. JARONCZYK: Legislator Bosworth, my point here, again, and I've said this three times already. I'm not here to beat up Sheriff Sposato, and I'm not here to beat up County

speak about the demotions. I couldn't agree with

you more, in terms of making it clear what you

24

The inadequate medical services should be -- are alarming. Prescribing generic pain relief for people diagnosed with broken bones, the

23

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

removal of prosthetic limbs and the denying of insulin and blood pressure medication for people with diabetes and hypertension; that's just a sample of the atrocities that are going on in Nassau County's facility right now.

Just on Friday I had the honor of meeting an inmate at the Nassau County facilities. hesitation to take a seat while talking with me wasn't an act of rebellion, but it was a means of relief. He has a slipped disc and a doctor had ordered him surgery on April 23, 2011. That's a surgery he hasn't been privy to as of yet. Unable to sleep and sit up properly because of the pain, this man is one example of why the current medical policy of molting is the best fix for everything is a bad and wrong inhumane policy.

Over the past three weeks, complaints have almost doubled. With the changing temperatures, it's become apparent that the inmates are slated to experience no heat, no hot water, and over the last two weeks, no warm meals.

Mr. Presiding Officer and members of the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

inmates in there. And there are other very ill

very sick. There's drug abuse in there that

should be dealt with. They're mentally ill

inmates, some very old, that don't get the care that they are supposed to get.

I know that this topic is not very popular with the general public. Who cares about inmates? Let them rot in there. They're criminals. They've done whatever, let them stay there. I know that very well. I understand that.

I've worked there. I've seen what goes on in there. If you've been in there and you've met some of these people, some of, as was said, the most vulnerable of our population who also, by the way, return to your communities, into their families, hopefully to families that they have on the outside. Many of them don't have families. Many of them are homeless or destitute. But some of them do return to the communities and they will vote and be a part of our larger group.

Please understand that they need your help.

Since I've worked there, I've started the Nassau Inmate Advocacy Group, and we try to advocate for these people who can't advocate for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Thank you, Pat.

Joanne Borden.

25

 $\mbox{MS. BORDEN:} \quad \mbox{I request that the address} \\ \mbox{and } \mbox{\it In Justice at Every Turn} \mbox{ be entered into the} \\ \mbox{record.}$

My name is Joanne Borden, and I want to wish good luck and success to the new legislators and to the new minority leader.

We have an important morale issue for our county to endorse: transgender civil rights.

Laws that provide equal protection reinforce the moral imperative of equality that America stands for. When beginning each meeting you pledge your allegiance and incorrectly end with liberty and justice for all. Nassau doesn't have liberty and justice for all, you know that. You fully know we have liberty and justice only for some. Say it the way it is; liberty and justice for some.

Each time you say for all, I hope you hear the ring of my voice, for some.

You are perpetuating liberty and justice for some cast shame on our county. Shame because it can be made for all in a matter of minutes.

Your inaction is immoral. Everyone around us has such a law. Nassau stands alone as a beacon of bigotry, and un-Americanism, which is shame for

the county in droves.

its harm to our men, women, especially our

children and the county, itself. Your attitude

partly explains why our young people are leaving

I sent each of you a major study which found transgender discrimination in every aspect of life, and it's twice as bad for people of color, emphasizing another opportunity for bigotry. Bigotry based simply because someone found out we were transgender. We were born transgender. The lack of a local law flies in the face of morality, American principles of equality and basic human rights of all humanity, all mankind.

Last year I mailed each of you the details of a serious Nassau County police abuse of a transgender woman. Each of you is personally responsible for that. It's not the police officers, it's you. You say it's okay. That makes each of you personally guilty of perpetuating the following abuses. Your inaction is responsible for bullying at schools. Your inaction is taking jobs away from people without cause, hardworking people who are trying to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reading, I learned that County Legislator

1

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

Denemberg from Merrick was questioning the privatization of the county sewer, inclusive of the City of Glen Cove's, and the legalities of privatization because state and federal taxpayers' money was used for the funding.

Sewer. A sewer, a legally defined bounds of real property from which waste water may be discharged into the public owned treatment plant. I emphasize the word public. Bounds shall be established, altered, changed, modified, reduced, enlarged, combined, or consolidated by the action of the Glen Cove City Council. There is no action for transferring ownership or selling the sewer or privatization. State and federal money was used on our sewer. If there's a question and a call for an investigation on the privatization of the sewer, why then was there no investigation on the acquisition of the resolution of the City of Glen Cove's sewer by the county on what appears to be conflicting? It says: Intermunicipal consolidation and transfer of ownership, along with a sale. This is insulting. This was not an inter-municipal consolidation. It was a sale. And the sale was in consideration

of a 15 year abatement of a sewer fee on Glen

Cove city residents at a value of \$28 million and the county would pick up the \$4 million note paid for by the taxpayers of the City of Glen Cove.

This was a sale. It was not an inter-municipal consolidation.

According to my FOIL request dated 3-2911, 2011, I received a response from Glen Cove
regarding bids for sewer sale and advertisement
for bids in newspaper. Glen Cove's response:
"Please be advised that we searched our records
and no said information was found on file in the
city." Over 150 people piled into the Glen Cove
chambers for a public hearing against this sale,
including the CSEA. We were told we were
operating at a \$4 million loss, yet our sewer
which was "state of the art because of the
taxpayers' money" was only operating at a 25
percent capacity.

What you also don't know is at the time of the appraised value of the sewer it was between 45 and \$50 million. The council had no right, nor the mayor to relinquish ownership even under the New York State Constitution 51. Our

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	sewer could have generated jobs and income for
3	our city. Since the sale of the sewer to the
4	county, we had to write off another \$1.9 million
5	and the cost incurred by a golf course hookup was
6	over \$50,000.
7	The POTW is a treatment plant, defined by
8	212 of the Act 33 USC 1292, which is owned by
9	Glen Cove and includes sewer in appurtenances but
LO	not pipes. 225-14 states inter-municipal
L1	agreements accept sewer and other waste,
L2	including industrial waste outside the service
L3	area of the POTW. If the person is a
L4	municipality, a sewer use ordinance will be
L5	enacted. If they are not, acceptance will be
L6	made only upon issuance of a waste water permit.
L7	We are a municipality. We are a county. We sold
L8	our sewer. We did not consolidate.
L9	CLERK MULLER: Your three minutes have
20	expired.
21	MS. WELLER: I'm sorry?
22	CLERK MULLER: Three minutes have
23	expired.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Your three minutes
25	are up.

MS. WELLER:

requirements, directives, or ordinances calling for mandatory use within the service of the PTOW

225-16 states all

Glen Cove, the New York State DEC, and the USEPA or other federal agency, not the county. I'm not even sure if a sewer can be sold or privatized,

may be established and issued only by the City of

according to the New York Constitution 51.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Would you wrap up, please?

MS. WELLER: Yes, I will. I believe you can go out for a 30 year contract on a public facility, but I'm not sure if you can sell it without a public referendum, and that might not even include a publicly owned sewer.

We're calling for an investigation into the City of Glen Cove's relinquishing of the sewer without any public referendum, without any bids, and without any request or advertising for bids for our sewer, and the acquisition by the County of Nassau of transfer of ownership by sale of our sewer.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25 Full Legislature - 1-30-12

Charles McAllister.

MS. WELLER: Excuse me. May I ask you one more question? I'm sitting here and I'm listening to people speak. I'm not here as a political tool. I hear people saying republican, democrat. I'm here because I'm a resident of the City of Glen Cove, and I hope I'm taken very seriously. I'm not an idiot. I'm in real estate. And when I see an inter-municipal sale of consolidation and the acquisition by the county, that is a sale. And I'm more concerned not just about privatization and the legality, how can this be basically insulting? This was not an inter-municipal -- you're all bright up there. An inter-municipal consolidation does not involve a sale. That's a consolidation and combining --

> CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: But you've had --

MS. WELLER: of two municipalities.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You've said that.

And you've had your three minutes. I don't understand the point here.

MS. WELLER: My complaint is why nobody's asking me anything, County Legislator Schmitt.

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Because what's to
3	ask? What's to ask?
4	MS. WELLER: I don't know.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: They did what
6	MS. WELLER: You seem to be all flippant
7	on your end.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: They did what they
9	did. The county is stuck with the Glen Cove
10	Sewage Treatment Plant.
11	MS. WELLER: What do you mean stuck?
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: We get to pay
13	MS. WELLER: We had a state-of-the-art
14	facility.
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: \$3 million a year to
16	the City of Glen Cove for the pleasure of
17	allowing them to run the plant. It's what we
18	deal with.
19	MS. WELLER: Show me where there was a
20	bid.
21	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: It doesn't belong
22	here. I'm not arguing with you. I voted against
23	the stupid thing. The thing stunk from high
24	heaven to begin with.
25	MS. WELLER: Right. So let me ask you a

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	question. If you were my county legislator and
3	you are representing us, I don't understand why
4	you accepted this.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Because Tom Suozzi
6	had to bailout Ralph Suozzi.
7	MS. WELLER: You got that right.
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Well, there you go.
9	MS. WELLER: So, wait a minute.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: And when they were in
11	charge down here, Diane, the former presiding
12	officer, who was from Glen Cove, did what she was
13	told. And that's the beginning and the end of
14	it.
15	MS. WELLER: But this is a violation of
16	the law.
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So by a ten to nine
18	vote they squeezed it through, and here's Nassau
19	County and we're stuck with it.
20	MS. WELLER: And do you know what an
21	inter-municipal agreement is? Why are you stuck
22	with it when somebody could have traded something
23	not true?
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: If you can stimulate
25	an investigation or get something done that that

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	would void that transaction, I'm in your corner.
3	MS. WELLER: I think the statute of
4	limitations is six years. How do we do it?
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Take it upstairs.
6	Take it to the DA.
7	MS. WELLER: On the grounds that
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Huh?
9	MS. WELLER: You know, county
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: On the grounds of all
11	the things that you said when you were standing
12	here.
13	MS. WELLER: And I'm going to tell you
14	something. I'm hearing different things going on
15	political. This is not political. This is my
16	money.
17	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't know what you
18	mean by political.
19	MS. WELLER: Well, I hear people saying
20	this is a republican this and this is under
21	democrat. It's about my money. I don't care
22	about the politics of it.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All I'm saying to
24	you, ma'am, is I didn't hear that. I don't know
25	where that came from. I don't know which side of

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	the aisle you heard that from.
3	MS. WELLER: My question to you is if
4	this is not a legal contract, how come your
5	county signed the resolution accepting it? Don't
6	you have lawyers?
7	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Oh, we have lawyers.
8	Oh sure. We have lots, and lots, and lots of
9	lawyer.
10	MS. WELLER: So how did you accept
11	something that's conflicting?
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: The county executive
13	sent down an item. The county legislature
14	approved the item by a ten to nine vote. It goes
15	through the process and that's it.
16	MS. WELLER: How does one approve
17	something that's not legal?
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't know, but
19	it's not here.
20	MS. WELLER: Then you should all be
21	ashamed of yourself if you signed something
22	that's not legal.
23	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: You might go to the
24	county attorney's office and ask those questions.
25	MS. WELLER: Just one question. What's

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	an inter-municipal consolidation? The
3	combination and the combining of two
4	municipalities.
5	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I
6	MS. WELLER: How is that a transfer of
7	sale which would require a bid?
8	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I am not an attorney,
9	thank God. So
10	MS. WELLER: Now the county is planning
11	on selling my sewer?
12	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: I don't know.
13	MS. WELLER: If you don't know, I don't
14	know why you're sitting up there. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: When the item to
16	lease the sewage treatment plants reaches us,
17	I'll know. I don't know if it contains Glen Cove
18	or not. I don't know if that's on the table or
19	not.
20	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: According to the
21	budget it does.
22	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Charles McAllister.
23	MR. MCALLISTER: Good afternoon. I'm
24	speaking on behalf of the Nassau County Inmate
25	Advocacy Group regarding the request for the

implementation of the Board of Visitors at Nassau County Correctional Center.

about Nassau County Jail, the guards, the inmates, and a whole slew of healthcare problems and issues. The issue clearly doesn't just rest on the fact of implementing a board of visitors; it rests also on the fact of accountability.

Nassau County Jail detains people who are accused of crimes throughout the County of Nassau, and that particular jail is very big. If the jail is not managed appropriately or properly, then there's not accountability.

My individual issue right now that I would like to speak about is the suicide rate in Nassau County Jail, and what mechanism or procedures are being implemented to prevent suicide. I made a proposal for the county executive to review regarding prevention in suicidal murders in Nassau County, and I would like to present it to the appropriate legislature.

And I would also like to bring to the attention today that I wrote a letter back in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'd like to put out.

The first one is Emergency Resolution 12012 which is, as follows: A resolution
declaring emergency for immediate action upon a
resolution setting forth that an emergency exists
for consideration of and immediate action upon

23

24

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	Resolution 14-A-2012.
3	The second emergency is an emergency
4	resolution 2-2012 which is, as follows: A
5	resolution declaring an emergency for immediate
6	action upon an ordinance setting forth that an
7	emergency exists for consideration of and
8	immediate action upon Ordinance 10-A-2012.
9	Please entertain a motion to place this
10	matter before the legislature and vote on the
11	emergency resolution.
12	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
13	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
15	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
16	Could we vote now?
17	CLERK MULLER: Yes, sir.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All those in favor
19	please say aye.
20	(Aye.)
21	Any opposed?
22	(No verbal response.)
23	The items carry unanimously.
24	CLERK MULLER: Then we'll move on to the
25	underlying resolutions.

The first one is an underlying ordinance 14-A-2012, a resolution authorizing the county attorney to compromise and settle the action Dorsett v. County of Nassau, Nassau County Police Department, Office of the Nassau County District Attorney, and Detective Robert Abriola, pursuant to the County Law, the County Government Law of Nassau County, and the Nassau County Administration Code. Clerk Item 36-12.

The second one is an ordinance 10-A-2012, which is an ordinance to pay for the capital expenditure to finance the payment of certain settled claims against the County of Nassau, authorizing \$7,905,000 of bonds of the County of Nassau to find and set expenditure, and to make certain determinations pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act, and Local Finance Law of New York, and the County Government Law of Nassau County.

Please entertain a motion to place these two emergencies before the legislature.

LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.

LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

in our paragraph that we would vote on bond

Full Legislature - 1-30-12

settlements and ordinances to pay for prudent legal settlements. So, from our standpoint we are not reversing from our position. We still feel that the borrowing practices in the county are spiraling in the wrong direction. From that standpoint, we still feel steadfast in regards to the borrowing practices going forward and that we will scrutinize every single one going forward. But this particular deal, because it's been recommended by the county attorney's office, we feel that it is prudent that we pay for this legal settlement.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Thank you, Mr.

Abrahams. I, too, have a statement that I want to put on the record regarding this whole sorted matter.

I am voting for this settlement today as the final step in a process which has frustrated, hindered, and at times even blocked the role of a legislative body to obtain all the facts relating to a lawsuit settlement.

It is the job of each legislator to be fully informed about the situation and facts

Full Legislature - 1-30-12

surrounding the unfortunate incident resulting in the murder of Joanne Bird.

At the time this case was forwarded to the legislature, I asked for information as to why the county was settling it for \$7.7 million.

I was told that I had no legal right to any information and should vote to award the settlement or not. I further asked what had the police officers done or not done, and if they were disciplined for their actions. I was told I could not have that information.

Let me be clear here. I believed and do believe that each member of this legislative body is legally entitled to any and all facts when they have to vote, especially in a case of police malfeasance, resulting in a settlement of \$7.7 million.

In order to obtain all the information involved in this case, the county attorney and special counsel, which I hired, went to the federal judge for clarification as to my right and the legislators to review the police internal affairs report, which resulted in the county agreeing to settle this case. The right to be

For confidentiality issues imposed by the court and agreements made in order that each member of the legislature could review this information, I am not at liberty, unfortunately, to discuss individual instances of police inaction or the discipline directed at the numerous officers involved. I will just say that the Nassau County Police Department and the officers involved were unforgivably very lax in carrying out in their duties and following required regulations.

I am asking the new police commissioner to review all information regarding this incident, and to make any and all changes to department policy, to ensure an incident of this kind never happens again.

The safety of residents has to be the number one priority for the police department and its officers. Unfortunately in this case it was not a priority. And I urge the members of the legislature to vote yes on the settlement.

CLERK MULLR: We voted on the emergency,

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12	
2	and now this is on the actual item.	
3	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: So I'm going to call	
4	the vote.	
5	All those in favor of the items please	
6	say aye.	
7	(Aye.)	
8	Any opposed?	
9	(Nay.)	
10	The items pass 17 to 2.	
11	CLERK MULLER: Presiding Officer	
12	Schmitt, Item Number 1 on the calendar is	
13	Procedural Resolution 1-2012, a resolution	
14	ratifying the actions of the clerk of the	
15	legislature to cause to be published a notice of	
16	hearing on a proposed local law to amend Article	
17	12 of the Nassau County Charter in relation to	
18	the establishment of a Division of Real Estate	
19	Services within the Department of Public Works.	
20	Please entertain a motion to place this	
21	matter before the legislature and vote on the	
22	procedural resolution.	
23	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.	
24	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.	
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator	

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12	
2	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.	
3	All those in favor please say we have	
4	to have a vote to open the hearing, right?	
5	CLERK MULLER: Also to adopt the	
6		
7	procedural resolution.	
	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: All those in favor of	
8	adopting the procedural resolution please say	
9	aye.	
10	(Aye.)	
11	Any opposed?	
12	(No verbal response.)	
13	CLERK MULLER: The hearing on the	
14	proposed local law in relation to a proposed	
15	local law to amend Article 12 of the Nassau	
16	County Charter in relation to the establishment	
17	of a Division of Real Estate Services in the	
18	Department of Public Works, pursuant to	
19	Procedural Resolution adopted today, January 30,	
20	2012.	
21	Please entertain a motion to place this	
22	matter before the legislature and vote to open	
23	the public hearing.	
24	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.	
25	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.	

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
3	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.
4	All those in favor of placing this in
5	front of the legislature please say aye.
6	(Aye.)
7	Any opposed?
8	(No verbal response.)
9	The item is in front of the legislature.
10	The hearing is open.
11	Is somebody here to speak to us on the
12	hearing? Nobody's here?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	So there's nobody here to talk on the
15	hearing?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	We're just going to leave the hearing
18	open until next week, when hopefully somebody can
19	come down to tell us, to testify on it.
20	The next item is Item Number 3, which is
21	Ordinance Number 2. May I have a motion, please?
22	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
23	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
24	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
25	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	Any debate or discussion?
3	(No verbal response.)
4	Any public comment?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	All those in favor please say aye.
7	(Aye.)
8	Any opposed?
9	(Nay.)
10	It passes by a vote of 10 to 9.
11	Item Number 4 is Ordinance Number 3. May
12	I have a motion, please?
13	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
14	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
16	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Muscarella.
17	We're now on Item Number 4. Let the
18	record show that Legislator Denenberg has recused
19	himself. He's leaving the chamber and he's not
20	taking part in any of the debate or discussion
21	regarding this item.
22	Is there any debate or discussion
23	regarding this item?
24	(No verbal response.)
25	Any public comment?

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	(No verbal response.)
3	All those in favor please say aye.
4	(Aye.)
5	Any opposed?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	The item carries unanimously.
8	Now we go to Item Number 8.
9	Invite Mr. Denenberg back.
10	Item Number 8 is a resolution authorizing
11	no. Item Number 8 is Resolution Number 1.
12	We're not calling Number 8 today.
13	Now we go to Number 16, which is
14	Resolution Number 9. May I have a motion,
15	please?
16	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.
17	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
19	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
20	Any debate or discussion?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	Any public comment?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	All those in favor please say aye.
25	(Aye.)

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12	
2	Any opposed?	
3	(Nay.)	
4	That would be all of you. The item	
5	carries by a vote of 10 to 9.	
6	We now have a consent calendar, which are	
7	items that both the majority and minority have	
8	agreed have been debated and are ready for final	
9	action.	
10	Item Number 2, Ordinance Number 1; Item	
11	Number 5, Ordinance Number 4; Item Number 6,	
12	Ordinance Number 5; Item Number 7, Ordinance	
13	Number 6; Item Number 11, Resolution Number 4;	
14	Item 12, Resolution Number 5; Item 13, Resolution	
15	Number 6; Item 14, Resolution Number 7; Item 15,	
16	Resolution Number 8; Item 17, Resolution Number	
17	10; Item 18, Resolution Number 11; Item 19,	
18	Resolution Number 12; Item 21, Resolution Number	
19	14; and Number 9, Resolution Number 2; Number 11	
20	I did 11. We're not calling 10 today.	
21	All of those items, may I have a motion,	
22	please?	
23	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: So moved.	
24	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Second.	
25	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator	

ī	1
1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	Gonsalves, seconded by Legislator Dunne.
3	Any debate or discussion?
4	Is anybody from the correctional center
5	here?
6	(No verbal response.)
7	On all of the items as they were called,
8	all those in favor please say aye.
9	(Aye.)
10	Any opposed?
11	(No verbal response.)
12	Any abstentions?
13	(Aye.)
14	Let the record show that the items pass
15	by a vote of 17 yes votes and two abstentions.
16	Mr. Walker, are you here? I'm going to
17	return to Item Number 1, which is the open
18	hearing on real estate services. Chief Deputy
19	County Executive Rob Walker is here to explain
20	the item to us.
21	LEGISLATOR BECKER: I just want to put
22	on the record; my intent was to vote for Mr.
23	Valarti and to abstain on those other items.
24	It's what you get when you bulk things together.
25	It doesn't make any sense. They're unrelated to

plan for Nassau County. Another function of this

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

department, also handles, right now, handles a lot of transportation needs and things of that nature, and also the real estate and the holdings of Nassau County, the facilities. They work with DPW on a regular basis. Some of the personnel, in terms of moving departments from one building to the other, involved in capital projects, such as One West Street that's going on right next door that will soon, hopefully, with the completion of the contract, house the treasurers and purchasing, and create a shared services unit downstairs. The first floor, which will allow residents the ability -- to make it much easier to pay their bills and things of that nature. This will allow us, the administration, greater flexibility, in terms of use of staff.

We have had personnel that left, via the retirement incentive. A few of the employees now will no longer have to be rehired. I'm sure you received the report from Office of Legislative Budget Review that discusses the fact that we will have some savings associated with the lack of need to hire additional staff. We have utilized some of the people in planning to assist

with DPW projects already. That is undergone and

before, we have people in both the Department of

has worked very well. 3

4 The Veolia contract, as I mentioned

5

Public Works, which now has planning, also

6

7 working on that contract, as well as people in

8

real estate. We now will put them under one

9

umbrella, one roof. Both the gentlemen I

10

will still work with the county attorney's office

11 12

on all of the real estate transactions. They

mentioned first, Mr. Schroeder and Mr. Kelly,

13

will remain housed here in One West so they can

14

handle these cases. The remainder of the

15

employees will work with the Department of Public

16

Works. Again, it will allow us to not have to replace individuals that have already left and

17 18

some that will be leaving at a cost savings, and,

19

again, put a lot of the functions together.

20

works building, renovating, and moving people and

To us it made no sense to have public

21 22

then have real estate, two or three staff members

23

in real estate, now working alongside DPW and not

24

be under the same roof. Now they will be.

25

they'll work together and have a clearer path, in

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12
2	terms of completing projects in a more cost
3	conscience manner.
4	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Any questions?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	Thank you.
7	I'll take a motion to close the hearing.
8	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
9	LEGISLATOR GONSALVES: Second.
10	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
11	Dunne, seconded by Legislator Gonsalves.
12	All those in favor of closing the hearing
13	please say aye.
14	(Aye.)
15	The hearing is closed.
16	That's it now.
17	I'll take a motion to adjourn.
18	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
19	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN SCHMITT: Moved by Legislator
21	Dunne, seconded by Legislator Kopel.
22	All those in favor please say aye.
23	(Aye.)
24	We are adjourned.
25	(Whereupon, the Full Legislature

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 1-30-12	159
2	adjourned at 4:03 p.m.)	

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 5th day of February, 2012.

FRANK GRAY